

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/587,113	06/02/2000	Thomas E. Saulpaugh	5181-46400	6795

7590  
Robert C Kowert  
Conley Rose & Tayon PC  
PO BOX 398  
Austin, TX 78767

08/03/2004

EXAMINER

COLLINS, SCOTT M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2145

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 09/587,113	Applicant(s) SAULPAUGH ET AL.	
	Examiner Scott M. Collins	Art Unit 2143	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 May 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-55 examined.
2. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Reconsideration on 04/30/2004.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments, see the Response received 04/30/2004, pages 2-7, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-55 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made and is disclosed below.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-12, 15, 18-23, 25-36, 41-44, 46-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al., U.S. Patent 6,442,663 B1 (herein referred to as Sun) in view of Wollrath et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,832,529 (herein referred to as Wollrath).
6. Referring to claim 1, Sun has taught a method for migrating a process between devices, the method comprising:
  - a. executing the process within a first device (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19);

Art Unit: 2145

- b. storing a first state of the process executing within the first device to a persistent store (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; and column 2, lines 60-65 where “databases” (column 2, line 11) infers a persistent store.);
  - c. sending the first state of the process from the persistent store to a second device (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; and column 2, lines 60-65);
  - d. receiving the first state of the process on the second device (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; and column 2, lines 60-65);
  - e. reconstituting the first state of the process on the second device (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; and column 2, lines 60-65);
  - f. and resuming the process execution on the second device (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; and column 2, lines 60-65).
7. Sun has generally stated that “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored” (Sun column 2, lines 60-65). However, as applicant has pointed out, Sun has not expressly disclosed how lease information is transferred along with the process from the first device to the second device. Wollrath has disclosed expiring one or more leases to services for the process on the first device (Wollrath column 3, line 31 – column 4, line 22); and establishing the one or more leases to services for the process on the second device (Wollrath column 3, line 31 – column 4, line 22). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Wollrath’s specific system for expiring and establishing leases to services within Sun’s system. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to explicitly migrate any leases to services when migrating processes and all their state information.

Art Unit: 2145

8. Referring to claim 2, Sun has taught the method further comprising: storing one or more previous states of the process executing within the first device to the persistent store prior to said sending the stored state of the process to the second device wherein the previous states include the first state (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; and column 8, lines 4-35); stopping the process execution on the second device (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; and column 8, lines 4-35); selecting one of the one or more previous states of the process executing within the first device from the persistent store (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; and column 8, lines 4-35); reconstituting the selected previous state of the process on the first device (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; and column 8, lines 4-35); establishing the one or more leases to services for the process on the first device; resuming the process execution on the first device (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; and column 8, lines 4-35).

9. Referring to claim 3, Sun has taught the method wherein the first state of the process comprises a heap for the process, wherein the heap comprises code and data for the process executing within the first device (Sun column 2, lines 60-65; and column 6, lines 10-23 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

10. Referring to claim 4, Sun has taught the method wherein the first state of the process comprises data describing the one or more leases to services for the process on the first device, wherein the data describing the one or more leases is used in said establishing the one or more

Art Unit: 2145

leases to services for the process on the second device (Sun column 2, lines 60-65; and column 6, lines 10-23 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

11. Referring to claim 5, Sun has taught the method wherein the one or more leases to services include one or more leases to remote services, wherein the remote services are services provided on devices other than the device within which the process is currently executing (Sun column 2, lines 60-65; and column 6, lines 10-23 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

12. Referring to claim 6, Sun has taught the method wherein the one or more leases to services include one or more leases to local services, wherein the local services are services provided on the device within which the process is currently executing (Sun column 2, lines 60-65; and column 6, lines 10-23 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

13. Referring to claim 7, Sun has taught the method wherein the one or more leases to services include one or more leases to system services, wherein a system service comprises system code for accessing a resource external to the process, wherein the system code is provided on the device within which the process is currently executing (Sun column 2, lines 60-65; and column 6, lines 10-23 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

14. Referring to claim 8, Sun has taught the method wherein the first state of the process comprises a stored execution state of the first device; wherein, in said reconstituting the first state of the process on the second device, a current execution state of the second device is

reconstituted to the stored execution state of the first device (Sun column 2, lines 60-65; and column 6, lines 10-23 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

15. Referring to claim 9, Sun has taught the method wherein said reconstituting the first state of the process on the second device comprises recalculating one or more transient variables for the process (Sun column 2, lines 60-65; and column 6, lines 10-23 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

16. Referring to claim 10, Sun has taught the method wherein the persistent store comprises one or more persistent heaps for one or more processes; and wherein said storing the first state of the process on the first device to the persistent store comprises storing the first state of the process on the first device to a first persistent heap for the process in the persistent store (Sun column 2, lines 60-65; and column 6, lines 10-23 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored” and where each persistent store must have at least one persistent heap.).

17. Referring to claim 11, Sun has taught the method wherein said sending the first state of the process from the persistent store to the second device comprises sending the first state of the process from the first persistent heap to the second device (Sun column 2, lines 60-65; and column 6, lines 10-23 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

18. Referring to claim 12, Sun has taught the method wherein said sending the first state of the process from the persistent store to the second device comprises sending a copy of the first persistent heap to the second device; wherein said receiving the first state of the process on the



Art Unit: 2145

second device comprises receiving the copy of the first persistent heap on the second device; and wherein said reconstituting the first state of the process on the second device comprises creating a second persistent heap for the process on the second device from the received copy of the first persistent heap (Sun column 2, lines 60-65; and column 6, lines 10-23 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

19. Referring to claim 15, Sun has taught the method wherein said storing the first state of the process on the first device to the persistent store, said sending the first state of the process from the persistent store to the second device and said receiving the first state of the process on the second device are performed as elements of an atomic transaction (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; and column 8, lines 4-35 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored” and where the entire task of migrating a process has simply been defined as an atomic transaction which inherently only takes place if all of the component tasks can complete successfully.); the method further comprising: committing the atomic transaction on the first device and the second device if all the elements of the atomic transaction complete successfully, wherein committing the atomic transaction comprises accepting changes to data made by the elements of the atomic transaction (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; and column 8, lines 4-35); and rolling back the atomic transaction if one or more of the elements of the atomic transaction fail, wherein rolling back the atomic transaction comprises restoring the data modified by the elements of the transaction to a previous state of the data prior to the start of the atomic transaction (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; and column 8, lines 4-35 where as Sun

Art Unit: 2145

notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

20. Referring to claim 18, Sun has taught the method wherein the persistent store is on a server external to the first device and the second device; and wherein the first device and the second device are coupled to the server via the Internet (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; column 8, lines 4-35; and column 1, line 15 where “information superhighway” is a common euphemism for the Internet.).

21. Referring to claim 19, Sun has taught the method wherein the first device and the second device each comprise a network service connection system configured to access services by establishing leases to the services; and wherein the one or more leases are expired on the first device and established on the second device using the network service connection system on the devices (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; column 8, lines 4-35; and column 1, line 15 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

22. Referring to claim 20, Sun has taught the method wherein the first device and the second device each comprise a compact network service connection system configured to execute on mobile computing devices to access services by establishing leases to the services; and wherein the one or more leases are expired on the first device and established on the second device using the compact network service connection system on the devices (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; column 8, lines 4-35; and column 1, line 15 where as Sun notes “all data necessary for future execution of the process has to be collected and then restored”).

Art Unit: 2145

23. Referring to claim 21, Sun has taught the method wherein the first device and the second device are coupled via the Internet so that the process is migrated from the first device to the second device over the Internet (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; column 8, lines 4-35; and column 1, line 15 where “information superhighway is a common euphemism for the Internet.).

24. Referring to claim 22, Sun has taught the method wherein the first device and the second device are network client devices (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; column 8, lines 4-35; and column 1, line 15).

25. Referring to claim 23, Sun has taught the method wherein at least one of the first device and the second device is a mobile computing device (Sun column 1, line 57 – column 2, line 19; column 2, lines 60-65; column 6, lines 10-23; column 8, lines 4-35; and column 1, line 15).

26. Claims 25-36, and 41-44 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claims 1-12, 21-23, and 18 respectively and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-12, 21-23, and 18 respectively above.

27. Claims 46-53 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claims 1-12 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-12 above.

28. Claims 13, 14, 16, 17, 24, 37-40, 45, 54, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,442,663 B1 (herein referred to as Sun) in view of Cejtin et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,745,703 (herein referred to as Cejtin).

29. Sun has not expressly disclosed the elements of claims 13, 14, 16, 17, and 24. Cejtin has taught the elements as shown below.

Art Unit: 2145

30. Referring to claim 13, Cejtin has taught the method: wherein the first device comprises a first in-memory heap for caching pages for use by the process, wherein the pages comprise code and data for the process; wherein the persistent store comprises a first virtual heap for storing pages flushed from the first in-memory heap; wherein said storing the first state of the process on the first device to the persistent store comprises storing one or more pages from the first in-memory heap to the first virtual heap in the persistent store; wherein said sending the first state of the process from the persistent store to the second device comprises sending a copy of the first virtual heap from the persistent store to the second device (Cejtin figure 19; column 1, lines 55-61; and column 2, lines 48-59).

31. Referring to claim 14, Cejtin has taught the method wherein said reconstituting the first state of the process on the second device comprises: establishing on the second device a second in-memory heap for caching pages for use by the process; and copying the one or more pages stored from the first in-memory heap to the first virtual heap from the received copy of the first virtual heap to the second in-memory heap (Cejtin figure 19; column 1, lines 55-61; and column 2, lines 48-59).

32. Referring to claim 16, Cejtin has taught the method wherein the process is executing within a first virtual machine on the first device; and wherein said resuming the process execution on the second device comprises resuming the process execution on a second virtual machine on the second device (Cejtin figure 19; column 1, lines 55-61; and column 2, lines 48-59).

Art Unit: 2145

33. Referring to claim 17, Cejtin has taught the method wherein the first virtual machine and the second virtual machine are Java virtual machines (Cejtin figure 19; column 1, lines 55-61; and column 2, lines 48-59).

34. Referring to claim 24, Cejtin has taught the method wherein the process is a Java process (Cejtin figure 19; column 1, lines 55-61; and column 2, lines 48-59).

35. All of claims 13, 14, 16, 17, and 24 are directed to virtual heaps, virtual machines, and utilizing Java. In all of these cases, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize virtual heaps, virtual machines, and Java because as Cejtin notes “Java is a byte-coded system intended to work in heterogeneous distributed environments ... [and] Java allows byte-codes to be transmitted across nodes” (Cejtin column 1, lines 55-61). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to create a system that is capable of running no matter what operating systems or devices are in use.

36. Claims 37-40 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claims 13, 14, 16, and 17 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 13, 14, 16, and 17 above.

37. Claim 45 does not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 24 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 24 above.

38. Claims 54 and 55 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claims 13 and 14 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 13 and 14 above.

Art Unit: 2145

***Conclusion***

39. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sudo, U.S. Patent Number 5,692,192.

40. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Collins whose telephone number is 703.305.7865. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am - 5:30 pm.

41. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 703.308.5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

42. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smc  
July 22, 2004

  
DAVID WILEY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100